

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/749,631	12/28/2000	Takao Shimamura	Q62040	5992
7590 10/27/2003			EXAM	IINER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN			HINDI, NABIL Z	
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			2655	8
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/749,631

Applicant(s)

Shimamura et al

Examiner

Nabil Hindi

Art Unit **2655**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	over sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
	or Reply		• .				
	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXP	IRE <u>one</u>	MONTH(S) FROM				
	AAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, h	owever, mav a repl	y be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing	date of this communication.						
- If NO p	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expi	re SIX (6) MONTH	S from the mailing date of this communication.				
	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applicatio ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this commun						
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 14, 2003						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxed{x} This action is no	on-final.	· ·				
_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
3) ∐	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-61</u>		is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-61</u>	are subje	ct to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) \square	ccepted or t	o) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s	s) be held in al	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this O	ffice action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.					
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in A	pplication No				
:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Bureau (PCT						
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certific						
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e).				
a) 🗆	The translation of the foreign language provisional application	ation has bee	n received.				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm							
		•	PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
			tent Application (PTO-152)				
3) [Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Ot	her;					

Application/Control Number: 09/749631

Art Unit: 2653

In response to applicant's amendment dated July 14, 2003. The following action is taken:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: fig 2 and fig 3 corresponding t at least two different embodiments.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2653

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone number 308.1555

NABIL HINDI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP IN